

The Role of Digitalization in the Fight Against Corruption

Jordan Deliversky

Department of National Security
University of Library Studies and
Information Technologies
Sofia, Bulgaria
j.deliversky@unibit.bg

Abstract—Digital technologies are becoming increasingly of main importance and provide a growing influence on public life. It impacts corruption and its counteraction across various sectors of society. At the current stage of society development, there are high expectations for combating this social phenomenon, and the advancement of information technologies and digitalization presents significant potential and prospects in the fight against corruption. This article examines possible trends and perspectives for utilizing digital tools in the fight against corruption, as well as identifying risks and new threats related to corruption in the context of digitalization processes in public administration and the digitization of services provided to citizens.

Keywords—Corruption, digitalization, e-government, integrity.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the modern digital age, the rapid advancement of technology has fundamentally reshaped the way public life operates, revolutionizing governance, public administration, and various social sectors. The integration of digital technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, big data analytics, cloud computing, and digital identity systems has not only streamlined public services but has also emerged as a powerful tool for enhancing transparency, improving efficiency, and mitigating corruption risks[1]. In particular, digital transformation has redefined how governments function, how public services are delivered, and how citizens interact with public and government institutions, creating an environment where oversight and accountability are significantly strengthened.

Corruption, long recognized as a major impediment to economic development and social justice, thrives in environments characterized by bureaucratic structures, excessive discretion in decision-making, limited access to information, and weak institutional controls. Traditionally, manual administrative processes, paper-based record-

keeping, and face-to-face interactions in public service transactions have created opportunities for bribery, favoritism, fraud[2]. The absence of digital traceability in such systems has allowed corrupt practices to persist undetected, weakening public trust in institutions and impeding socioeconomic progress.

However, the increasing adoption of digital governance tools and automated decision-making systems has begun to dismantle these long-standing vulnerabilities by introducing greater transparency, reducing human discretion, and strengthening regulatory oversight[3]. For example, e-government platforms have digitized and centralized key public services such as tax collection, business registration, and social welfare distribution, significantly reducing opportunities for corrupt intermediaries to exploit bureaucratic inefficiencies. Likewise, blockchain technology is being leveraged to create immutable records of transactions in government procurement and public finance, ensuring that all transactions remain tamper-proof and fully auditable. Artificial intelligence and big data analytics are also playing a crucial role in corruption detection by analyzing patterns in financial transactions and flagging suspicious activities that may indicate fraudulent practices.

Moreover, open data initiatives and digital citizen engagement platforms have empowered civil society to participate more actively in governance, facilitating real-time monitoring of public spending and project implementation [4]. By making government contracts, budgets, and administrative decisions publicly accessible online, digital platforms enable journalists, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and the general public to evaluate public sector activities, reducing opportunities for corruption to go unnoticed. Additionally, whistleblower protection mechanisms embedded in secure digital platforms allow individuals to report corruption

Online ISSN 2256-070X

<https://doi.org/10.17770/etr2025vol5.8494>

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by RTU PRESS.

This is an open access article under the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

anonymously, providing a safer environment for exposing wrongdoing.

The influence of digital technologies extends beyond government operations, affecting key sectors of public life such as law enforcement, healthcare, education, and financial services. In law enforcement, digitalization has enhanced case tracking and forensic investigations, reducing opportunities for tampering with evidence or manipulating judicial processes. In healthcare, electronic health records (EHRs) and blockchain-based pharmaceutical supply chains ensure the integrity of medical procurement, preventing fraud and counterfeit drug circulation. The education sector benefits from digital transparency tools that prevent nepotism in faculty hiring, scholarship distribution, and academic assessments. Additionally, financial technology (FinTech) solutions such as digital payments and AI-driven fraud detection systems have curtailed money laundering and financial crimes, further limiting corruption opportunities in the banking sector.

Despite these promising developments, challenges remain in fully leveraging digital technologies to combat corruption. Cybersecurity threats, algorithmic biases, digital exclusion, and resistance from entrenched power structures pose significant hurdles to digital anti-corruption strategies. Governments must ensure that digital governance systems are inclusive, ethically designed, and resilient to cyberattacks to maximize their effectiveness in curbing corruption.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the contemporary fight against corruption in the public sector, digitalization has emerged as a pivotal strategy, introducing tools and systems that enhance transparency, accountability, and efficiency. By integrating digital technologies into public administration, governments worldwide are addressing systemic vulnerabilities that have historically facilitated corrupt practices[5]. Some of the activities focus towards enhancing transparency and accountability, another refer to streamlining public services while other actions are facing towards goals on promoting of citizen engagement, as well as towards implementation of advanced monitoring tools for assuring, strengthening and guarding the integrity of public institutions.

Digital platforms enable the public to access government data, fostering an environment where information is open and verifiable. For instance, e-government initiatives provide citizens with insights into governmental operations, reducing the opacity that often conceals corrupt activities. The implementation of digital platforms in the public sector serves as a cornerstone for promoting transparency. By digitizing records and processes, governments can make vast amounts of data accessible to the public, including budgets, expenditures, procurement contracts, and policy decisions. This accessibility allows citizens, researchers, and organizations to follow government actions, identify discrepancies, and determine potential misconduct.

For instance, the Open Government Partnership (OGP) is a global initiative that encourages member countries to adopt transparent practices by leveraging digital technologies. Participating nations commit to making governmental data open and machine-readable, facilitating easier analysis and fostering public trust. Such initiatives underscore the role of digital platforms in transforming opaque governmental processes into transparent and accountable systems.

Other initiatives also have impact on corruption. E-government refers to the use of digital tools and systems to deliver governmental services, disseminate information, and facilitate interactions with citizens and businesses [6]. By transitioning from manual, paper-based processes to digital interfaces, e-government reduces opportunities for corruption in several ways. Some of them include process automation, transparent procurement, real-time monitoring.

Automating routine tasks minimizes human intervention, thereby reducing the chances of bribery and favoritism. For example, online licensing and permitting systems can eliminate the need for in-person interactions, where corrupt exchanges might occur.

Digital procurement platforms can publish all stages of the procurement process, from tender announcements to contract awards. This transparency deters collusion and bid-rigging by making the process open to public scrutiny.

E-government systems enable real-time tracking of public funds and projects. Citizens can monitor how their taxes are being utilized, which projects are being funded, and the progress of public works, thereby holding officials accountable for delays or mismanagement.

Empirical studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of digital platforms in combating public sector corruption. Research analyzing data from 110 countries between 2003 and 2021 found a significant negative correlation between the implementation of e-government initiatives and the prevalence of corruption. The study suggests that as governments adopt digital tools, the increased transparency and reduced human discretion lead to lower levels of corrupt activities [7].

Another study focusing on European countries during 2012–2019 corroborated these findings, indicating that e-government significantly curbs corruption by enhancing transparency and accountability in public administration. These studies provide robust scientific evidence that digital platforms and e-government initiatives are effective tools in the fight against public sector corruption [8].

The integration of digital platforms into governmental operations plays a crucial role in fostering transparency and reducing corruption. By providing public access to comprehensive and verifiable data, these platforms empower citizens to hold public officials accountable and deter misconduct. E-government initiatives, in particular, streamline processes, enhance openness, and diminish opportunities for corrupt practices. Scientific research supports the efficacy of these digital interventions,

highlighting their importance in promoting integrity and trust in public sector institutions.

Digital technologies have become essential in the global fight against corruption, offering innovative tools to detect, prevent and combat corruption practices across various sectors[9]. The mechanisms through which these technologies operate disrupt traditional avenues for corrupt practices and promote accountability.

In relation to enhancing transparency and accountability, open data policies are an important tool. Conducting and implementing such mechanisms into fight against corruption ensures that information related to government budgets, expenditures, procurement processes, and decision-making are actually available to the public[10]. The Open Data Charter's "Open Up Guide to Combat Corruption" identifies priority datasets and standards that governments can adopt to tackle corruption effectively.

Accessible government data allows stakeholders to hold public officials accountable for their actions. When data on public spending and procurement is open, it enables the detection of irregularities, such as inflated contracts or misallocation of funds[11].

While open data policies are instrumental in combating corruption, their success depends on several factors. For open data to be effective, it must be accurate, up-to-date, and comprehensive. Incomplete or outdated data can lead to misinformation and reduce trust in public institutions. Establishing robust legal frameworks is essential to mandate the release of government data and protect the rights of those who use it. Adequate infrastructure is necessary to collect, manage, and disseminate data efficiently. This includes investing in digital platforms and ensuring interoperability between systems. Another important factor is related to capacity building. Training public officials and citizens in data literacy ensures that data is both published effectively and utilized appropriately for monitoring and advocacy purposes [12].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Digitalization offers promising tools to combat corruption, but there are various limitations and challenges which must be considered to ensure its effective implementation.

The success of digital transformation in anti-corruption efforts is heavily influenced by the existing institutional, social and political environment. Factors such as institutional incentives, state capacities, and leadership within the public sector play crucial role [13]. Without a conducive context, digital initiatives may face resistance or may not achieve the desired impact. The process of digital transformation itself can become a hotspot for corrupt activities if not managed carefully.

The procurement of digital systems, allocation of resources, and management of digital projects can be susceptible to corruption, undermining the very objectives of such initiatives. The effectiveness of digital anti-

corruption tools is contingent upon adequate digital infrastructure and literacy. In regions where access to technology is limited, these tools may not reach or benefit the entire population, potentially exacerbating existing inequalities and leaving certain groups more vulnerable to corruption.

While digital tools can deter corruption, they can also be exploited for illicit purposes. Technologies such as cryptocurrencies and the dark web can facilitate anonymous transactions, making it challenging to trace illicit financial flows. Additionally, without proper safeguards, digital platforms intended for transparency can be manipulated to serve corrupt interests.

Bulgaria has been actively involved in blockchain-related activities, with several notable projects and incidents highlighting both the potential and challenges of using blockchain technology to combat corruption. In recent years, Bulgarian authorities have engaged in operations targeting fraudulent cryptocurrency schemes, underscoring the country's commitment to addressing financial crimes associated with digital assets. For instance, in January 2023, a coordinated action supported by Eurojust and Europol led to the dismantling of a cryptocurrency fraud network operating from Bulgaria, Cyprus, and Serbia[14]. This network had defrauded numerous victims across countries, including Germany, Switzerland, and Austria, through call centers promoting fake crypto investments. The operation resulted in multiple arrests and the seizure of significant assets, including over 150 computers and substantial amounts of cryptocurrency and cash.

While digitalization holds significant potential in the fight against corruption by enhancing transparency and accountability, it is not a panacea. The deployment of technologies like artificial intelligence in monitoring and data analysis also raises concerns about privacy and ethics[15]. There is a risk of excessive surveillance and potential violations of individual rights if these tools are not implemented with appropriate checks and balances. Only through comprehensive measures can digital tools effectively contribute to reducing corruption across various sectors.

IV. CONCLUSION

Digital technologies present a multifaceted approach to detecting and combating corruption by enhancing transparency, automating processes, enabling advanced analytics, and fostering citizen engagement. While challenges remain, strategic implementation and continuous oversight can harness the potential of these technologies to promote integrity and accountability across various sectors [16].

The integration of digital platforms into governmental operations plays a crucial role in fostering transparency and reducing corruption. By providing public access to comprehensive and verifiable data, these platforms empower citizens to hold public officials accountable and deter misconduct. E-government initiatives, in particular,

streamline processes, enhance openness, and diminish opportunities for corrupt practices.

Open data policies are a powerful tool in the fight against corruption. By fostering transparency, enhancing accountability, and enabling public scrutiny, these policies help to create an environment where corrupt practices are more easily detected and deterred. However, the successful implementation of open data initiatives requires careful consideration of data quality, legal frameworks, technological infrastructure, and capacity building to maximize their impact on reducing corruption.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Bertot, P. T. Jaeger, and J. M. Grimes, "Using ICTs to create a culture of transparency: E-government and social media as openness and anti-corruption tools," *Government Information Quarterly*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 264–271, 2010. [Online]. [Accessed February 24, 2025] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2010.03.001>
- [2] A. Persson, B. Rothstein, and J. Teorell, "Why anti-corruption reforms fail—Systemic corruption as a collective action problem," *Governance*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 449–471, 2013. [Online]. [Accessed February 24, 2025] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12032>
- [3] D. C. Shim and T. H. Eom, "E-government and anti-corruption: Empirical analysis of international data," *International Journal of Public Administration*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 298–316, 2008. [Online]. [Accessed February 24, 2025] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900690701590553>
- [4] M. Ramaswamy, "Using digital technologies to combat corruption in transition countries," *Issues in Information Systems*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 58–70, 2024. [Online]. [Accessed February 24, 2025] Available: https://iacis.org/iis/2024/1_iis_2024_58_70.pdf
- [5] A. Mungiu-Pippidi and R. Dadašov, "When do anti-corruption laws matter? The evidence on public integrity enabling contexts," *Crime, Law, and Social Change*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 387–402, 2017. [Online]. [Accessed February 24, 2025] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-017-9701-6>
- [6] S. Denchev, I. Peteva, and S. Yordanova, "Information literacy, information transparency, and information accessibility – Distinctive features of cyber security," in *Proc. IMCIC - Int. Multi-Conf. Complexity, Informatics, and Cybernetics*, vol. 2024-March, pp. 145–147, 2024. ISSN: 2771-5914, ISBN: 978-195049278-7. [Online]. [Accessed February 24, 2025] Available: <https://doi.org/10.54808/IMCIC2024.01.145>
- [7] D. A. Seiam and D. Salman, "Examining the global influence of e-governance on corruption: A panel data analysis," *Future Business Journal*, vol. 10, p. 29, 2024. [Online]. [Accessed February 24, 2025] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-024-00319-3>
- [8] L. T. Ha, T. T. To, T. T. Huyen, H. Q. Hoa, and T. A. Ngoc, "The roles of e-government in combating corruption: Evidence from European countries," *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 1505–1533, 2024. [Online]. [Accessed February 24, 2025] Available: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTPM-04-2022-0065>
- [9] G. Dimitrov and M. Zahariev, *Cyber Law in Bulgaria*. The Netherlands: Kluwer Law International BV, 2024, 322 pages. ISBN: 978-94-035-4955-2.
- [10] M. Zahariev, "Data protection aspects of artificial intelligence in commercial arbitration," *Contemporary Strategies and Innovations in the Knowledge Management, ZA BUKVITE – O Pismeneh Publishing House*, 2018, pp. 129–139. ISSN: 2535-0250.
- [11] Council of Europe, "Enhancing the capacities of the Bulgarian Financial Intelligence on operational analysis," Council of Europe, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.coe.int/en/web/corruption/-/enhancing-the-capacities-of-the-bulgarian-financial-intelligence-on-operational-analysis> [Accessed February 24, 2025]
- [12] S. Denchev and T. Trencheva, "Model for cyber ethical and transparency issues in education: A short overview," in *Proc. 15th Int. Technology, Education and Development Conf. (INTED 2021)*, Online Conf., 8-9 Mar. 2021, pp. 7583–7588. ISBN: 978-84-09-27666-0 / ISSN: 2340-1079. [Online]. [Accessed February 24, 2025] Available: <https://doi.org/10.21125/inted.2021.1527>
- [13] D. Stoeva and A. Stoilov, "Trends in cyber threats - Problems and solutions," in *Modern Dimensions in European Education and Research Area: Proc. 16th Int. Traveling Seminar of ULSIT, 2022*, pp. 201–206. Academic Publisher "Za Bukvite – O Pismeneh." ISSN: 2367-7988. W.-K. Chen, *Linear Networks and Systems*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 1993, pp. 123-135.
- [14] European Union Agency for Criminal Justice Cooperation (Eurojust), "Takedown of fraudulent cryptocurrency network in Bulgaria, Cyprus, and Serbia," Press Release, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.eurojust.europa.eu/news/takedown-fraudulent-cryptocurrency-network-bulgaria-cyprus-and-serbia> [Accessed February 24, 2025]
- [15] M. Neykova, "Digitalization of registers in the public administration - Key element of electronic governance," *Univ. Economics and Innovation in Lublin, Free Univ. Varna*, ISSN: 2367-4555.
- [16] M. Ivanova and G. Angelov, "Architectural approach to describe processes in CIS (communication information system) of organizational management structures," *Education, Scientific Research and Innovations*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 28–35, 2023.